



Mukhtaruddin M.LIS <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

[peuradeun] Submission Acknowledgement

1 message

Ramzi Murziqin <info.jip@scadindependent.org>
To: mukhtaruddin-D ruddin <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

28 September 2020 at 16:58

Dear mukhtaruddin-D ruddin:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "**THE IMPLICATIONS OF LIBRARY SERVICE DEVELOPMENT ON STUDENTS' SATISFACTION AT THE STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY IN INDONESIA**" to *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/authorDashboard/submission/598>
Username: mukhtaruddin-d

All the manuscripts submitted to the JIP editorial will be pass through a double blind peer review according to the International standards. We want to emphasize that all the manuscripts submitted are subject to review of the editors, editorial board, and double blind reviewers. The Editorial Board may reject a manuscript without peer review if that paper is judged not to meet the journal minimum required qualifications. The final decision will be sent to the author as soon as possible. For more details please visit:

<http://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/workflow>

We hope you can be patient for a predetermined time of process. If you have any question, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Ramzi Murziqin

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

St. Tgk. Pulo Dibaroh, No. 26, Kp. Baru, Baiturrahman Sub-district, Banda Aceh City 23242, Aceh, Indonesia.
Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org | website: www.journal.scadindependent.org



Mukhtaruddin M.LIS <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

[peuradeun] Your Article Send to Pre-Review

1 message

Ramzi Murziqin <ramzimirziqin@scadindependent.org>
Reply-To: Ramzi Murziqin <ramzimirziqin@scadindependent.org>
To: mukhtaruddin-D ruddin <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

8 March 2021 at 00:06

Article ID: 598*Dear Author:*

Your submission to the **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** with the title, "**THE IMPLICATIONS OF LIBRARY SERVICE DEVELOPMENT ON STUDENTS' SATISFACTION AT THE STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY IN INDONESIA,**" has now been submitted for pre-review by editorial.

You can monitor the progress of your paper stages process directly in your account, and each stage will be notified to you about the progress of your paper. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined time of the process. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Ramzi Murziqin
SCAD Independent, Aff. Ar-Raniry State Islamic University, Indonesia
ramzimirziqin@scadindependent.org

[Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#)

St. Tgk. Pulo Dibaroh, No. 26, Kp. Baru, Baiturrahman Sub-district, Banda Aceh City 23242, Aceh, Indonesia.
Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org | website: www.journal.scadindependent.org

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition...



Mukhtaruddin M.LIS <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

[peuradeun] Editor Decision

1 message

Syahril Elvhantuny Syahril <syahril@scadIndependent.org>
To: mukhtaruddin-D ruddin <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

13 August 2021 at 12:55

Article ID: 598*Dear mukhtaruddin-D ruddin (Author):*

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, " THE IMPLICATIONS OF LIBRARY SERVICE DEVELOPMENT ON STUDENTS' SATISFACTION AT THE STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY IN INDONESIA".

After Editorial Board and Reviewers inspect and reviewing your manuscript, Our decision is to: **Resubmit for Review.**

We have received comments and decisions from reviewers for your manuscript. Attached are the overall comments of the peer reviewer about your manuscript (Please see editor and reviewer notes in the attached file).

Please revise your manuscript. **The Deadline for revision and re-submit of the manuscript is within two weeks from the date of the notice.** And then, please make a [Table of Corrections](#) to make it easier for editors to assess your revised manuscript. **The Table of Corrections contains the reviewer's comments, your revision, and the page.** You can see the [Table of Correction](#) format on the journal's website, in the author's guidelines section. The Table of Corrections is sent with your revised manuscript as a separate file. Please make sure the revision that you submitted has been in JIP's Template and has followed the authors' guidelines of JIP. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

The Table of Corrections is sent with your revised manuscript as a separate file. Please make sure the revision that you submitted has been in the JIP Template and has followed the [JIP author's guidelines](#). If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you for your contribution and cooperation.

Best Regards,

Syahril Elvhantuny Syahril
Serambi Mekkah University, Banda Aceh, Indonesia
syahril@scadIndependent.org

Reviewer A:

1. Are the title, abstract and keywords appropriately reflect the content of the paper?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

2. Are the aims, objectives, novelty and the significance of the study are clear? And is the main issues discussed in this paper very important and in accordance with the novelty?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

Yes

3. Is the paper sufficiently broadly and critically review the relevant scientific literature on the topic?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

4. Is the research methodology or approach to problem-solving that used was relevant, detail and clear?

5. Is the research findings clearly and comprehensibly presented? And did the authors conducted data presentation and interpretation were valid and reasonable?

6. Is the discussion relevant to the research findings? and is the author(s) clearly express and explain their own professional viewpoints?

7. Are the conclusions are clearly and comprehensively? Especially in terms of the author's critical evaluation of research findings.

Yes

8. Are the references used relevant and up to date? and does it match the citations in the article?

9. Are there other existing papers related to this paper?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

No

10. Are the papers quality, structure, and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

Are there any parts of the manuscripts that should be reduced or omitted? Please give your suggestions or some points of view to which may construct, including the strengths/ weaknesses of this paper.

Given the complexity involved, the author has produced many positive and welcome outcomes. But, In the "Discussion" section I would have wished to see more information on the argument of this paper. Please add the argument and start by saying what the author really wants to argue in this article. A conceptual framework needs to be made clear to get the appropriate discussion. In addition, I would strongly advise the author to rewrite their introduction, analysis, and discussion to produce a more contextualized introduction. Finally, to make this manuscript publishable, authors need to respond substantively to our comments on the file.

Reviewer B:

1. Are the title, abstract and keywords appropriately reflect the content of the paper?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

2. Are the aims, objectives, novelty and the significance of the study are clear? And is the main issues discussed in this paper very important and in accordance with the novelty?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

3. Is the paper sufficiently broadly and critically review the relevant scientific literature on the topic?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

4. Is the research methodology or approach to problem-solving that used was relevant, detail and clear?

5. Is the research findings clearly and comprehensibly presented? And did the authors conducted data presentation and interpretation were valid and reasonable?

6. Is the discussion relevant to the research findings? and is the author(s) clearly express and explain their own professional viewpoints?

Yes

7. Are the conclusions are clearly and comprehensively? Especially in terms of the author's critical evaluation of research findings.

Partly

8. Are the references used relevant and up to date? and does it match the citations in the article?

9. Are there other existing papers related to this paper?

Please provide your comments in detail in the comments section (if any).

No

10. Are the papers quality, structure, and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

Are there any parts of the manuscripts that should be reduced or omitted? Please give your suggestions or some points of view to which may construct, including the strengths/ weaknesses of this paper.

1) Need to improve the structure and grammar, since some errors in some parts of sentences in order to make sure zero errors; 2) Pay attention to how to write APA Style; 3) If that's the result of observation, when did you do it? We do not find that information in this manuscript. You should be able to prove it with data or with some literature; 4) Why is this a problem that readers should care about? This is not self-evident, especially for readers who are not from UIN. To draw readers into the manuscript, I suggest you illustrate the problem and show readers its potential relevance to them; 5) In the literature review, We see this as an explanation of terms in an undergraduate thesis, and not the literature to support your arguments in this manuscript. You should use related theories to support your arguments, besides that, we also see the lack of literature that you follow to support your arguments and statements. I'm afraid I don't understand what you are looking for in this research. This can be confusing if it does not have a clear context; 6) It is advisable to incorporate previous literature and research into the issues you raised in the introduction, as suggested in the manuscript format of this journal; 7) Please explain every step of data generation and collection and provide a rationale for each of your research decisions (please cite the literature that you used as a guide). Make sure to tell us exactly what constitutes data in your study; 8) Clearly describe each step of your analysis of the data—including an example for illustration. You may present each step in terms of it from both a conceptual and operational perspective (please cite the literature that you used as a guide); 9) Include also a full discussion (including relevant literature) of every step you took to ensure rigor and trustworthiness; 10) Tell us how you are going to organize your results and how that is derived from your analysis; 11) What this? And why do you claim that the experts say that your manuscript uses mixed and combined methods? and why it became someone else's statement, not as a quote; 12) If we may suggest, it would be best to read more information on qualitative and quantitative data analysis carefully. In addition, we noticed that your methods are lacking detail on how exactly the study was performed. Please bear in mind that readers must be able to recreate your study from the level of detail that you give. For instance, for qualitative studies involving interviews

and focus groups; 13) The analysis of the paper must be clear and comprehensive. The author must be explained the research findings in the specific sub-topic. Strengthen statements or findings with data evidence from the data collection techniques used. In the discussion section, compare the findings with the latest theories or findings from international studies; 14) Please keep in mind that the qualitative data are normally reported under various succinct the mes, and supplied by robust data. Please refer to the qualitative data presentation manual/handbooks; 15) Please keep in mind that the qualitative data are normally reported under various succinct themes, and supplied by robust data. Please refer to the qualitative data presentation manual/handbooks; 16) Likewise quantitative. Moreover, if you use mixed methods, it is necessary to pay more attention to mixed methods data analysis; 17) Then, what is your argument? Please add the argument and start by saying what the author really wants to argue in this article. A conceptual framework needs to be made clear to get the appropriate discussion; 18) The conclusion needs to discuss comprehensively. That section needs to be synthesis comprehensively, especially in terms of the author's critical evaluation of research findings, must explain your contribution to knowledge. Correspondence of conclusions with results is highly recommended; 19) Need more current references that discuss a similar topic thus makes the research well supported. Please add references up to date!; 20) For citations and references, it is mandatory to Use the Mendeley App or Zotero.

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

St. Tgk. Pulo Dibaroh, No. 26, Kp. Baru, Baiturrahman Sub-district, Banda Aceh City 23242, Aceh, Indonesia.
Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org | website: www.journal.scadindependent.org

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition...

2 attachments



B-598-99Z_Article Text-2765-1-4-20210520.docx
118K



A-598-99Z_Article Text-2765-1-4-20210520.docx
116K



Mukhtaruddin M.LIS <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

[peuradeun] Editor Decision

1 message

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
To: mukhtaruddin-D ruddin <mukhtaruddin@ar-raniry.ac.id>

15 December 2021 at 16:55

Article ID: 598*Dear mukhtaruddin-D ruddin (Author):*Thank you for submitting your manuscript to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.After Editorial Board and Reviewers inspect and review your manuscript, we have reached a decision regarding your submission about " *The Implications of Library Service Development on Students' Satisfaction at the State Islamic University in Indonesia*".**Our decision is to: Accept Submission to Publish.**Furthermore, we need your favor to release the participation charge. Our finance team will immediately send you an invoice. **Please check the invoice sent to your primary email.**For information on the participation charge, please visit:
<https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/charge>

The manuscript that has been accepted will not move to the editing of publications until we receive your payment notification. LAA will be sent after confirmation of your payment received.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you for your contribution and cooperation.

*Best Regards,*Tabrani ZA
SCAD Independent Research Institute, Indonesia
tabraniza@scadindependent.org

Jurnal Ilmiah PeuradeunSt. Tgk. Pulo Dibarah, No. 26, Kp. Baru, Baiturrahman Sub-district, Banda Aceh City 23242, Aceh, Indonesia.
Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org | website: www.journal.scadindependent.org*Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition...*