aqasid_alShari_ah_and_Stipulation_of_Co nditions_in_Contract.doc

Submission date: 04-Apr-2020 12:04PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1289372778

File name: agasid al-Shari ah and Stipulation of Conditions in Contract.doc (121K)

Word count: 3016

Character count: 16645

$extit{MAQar{A}SID}$ AL-SHAR $ar{I}$ AH AND STIPULATION OF CONDITIONS (SHUR $ar{U}T$) IN CONTRACTS

Mohamad Akram Laldin* and Hafas Furqani**

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the <code>maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah</code> (objectives of the Sharīʿah) with regard to wealth is ensuring that it circulates smoothly in society. Among the means that the Sharīʿah uses to achieve that objective is its strong encouragement of undertaking necessary types of commercial activities and its legislation of various types of contracts in order to ensure orderly transfers of wealth on the basis of mutual consent.

The contracts validated in the Qur'ān and Sunnah are not exhaustive, and new transactions can be introduced as long as they do not contradict the principles of the Sharī'ah. The underlying principle is that of permissibility $(ib\bar{a}hah)$.

Likewise, the right to stipulate conditions ($\hbar urriyyat$ al-ishtirat) in a contract is also acknowledged in line with the freedom of entering into contracts ($\hbar urriyyat$ al-ta'aqud). Those facilities are acknowledged as a means of realizing maslahah (benefit) and preventing harm (maslahah) to the contracting parties.

II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This research aims to look into the issue of contracts further by exploring the nature of conditions $(shur\bar{u}t)$ in Islamic contract law, their types and classifications, and by examining their relationship with the objectives of the Sharīʻah. All the conditions stipulated are required to be legally valid (i.e., in line with Islamic

Mohamad Akram Laldin, PhD, is Executive Director at the International Shari'ah Research Academy for Islamic Finance (ISRA). He can be contacted at akram@isra.my.

^{**} Hafas Furqani, PhD, is Researcher at ISRA and Lecturer at Faculty of Islamic Economic and Business, Ar-Raniry State Islamic University, Banda Aceh. He can be contacted at hafasf@gmail.com.

law/ahkam al-Sharī'ah) and supportive to the objectives of Sharī'ah ($maq\bar{a}sid$ al-Sharī'ah).

III. SHURŪT (CONDITIONS) IN ISLAMIC COMMERCIAL CONTRACTS

The Arabic word for 'condition' is <code>shart</code>; the plural is <code>shurūt</code>. It is related to the word <code>sharat</code>, which means a sign or indicator. Literally, it means 'a provision, condition, clause or stipulation' (Wehr, 1980: 465). <code>Shart</code> can carry the connotation of binding another to something or committing oneself to it (<code>ilzām al-shay</code>' wa <code>iltizāmuhu</code>) ('Imārah, 2009: 175).

In the technical language of jurists, a *shart* is "[a factor] whose absence requires the absence of a certain rule whereas its presence does not, in and of itself, require the presence or absence of that rule" (Badrān, 1996: 74).

Shart (condition) in this regard implies a necessary element for a rule ($\hbar ukm$) and hence legality of a contract ('aqd). The presence or absence of the relevant shart is taken into account in recognizing its legal effects (al-Ba'lī, 1989: 284; Kamali, 2007: 338). Nevertheless, unlike a rukn (literally, 'pillar') which is part of the thing with which it is associated and partakes of its essence, shart is external to that thing. The existence of shart (condition) is necessary for a valid contract, but its existence does not necessarily mean that a contract comes into existence. This is because shart is an external attribute to the contract and does not participate in the contract's essence.

IV. TYPES OF CONDITIONS IN MU'AMALAH CONTRACTS

There are various types of conditions $(shur\bar{u}t)$ approved by the Sharīʻah. They can be classified into several categories based on their application, source, effect and validity.

A. Types of Condition with Regard to Application

With regard to application, conditions are classified into two categories:

- The condition which affects the cause and gives it its full effect. For example, ownership of the $nis\bar{a}b$ (the minimal amount of wealth upon which $zak\bar{a}h$ is due) is the effective cause (sabab) of the duty to pay $zak\bar{a}h$. One of the conditions for the sabab to take effect is the passage of a year after the owner gains possession of the $nis\bar{a}b$.
- The condition which directly affects the rule. For example, the lawfulness of the subject matter in a sale contract is a condition for the contract's validity. When such lawfulness is not fulfilled, the sale contract is invalid (Haqqi, 2009: 71).

B. Types of Condition with Regard to Its Source

With regard to their source, conditions are divided into legal conditions ($al-shur\bar{u}t$, al-shar'iyyah) and improvised conditions ($al-shur\bar{u}t$, al-ja'liyyah).

- Al-shurūṭ al-sharʻiyyah: These are conditions laid down by the Lawgiver so that the contract can be valid and concluded. For example, the condition that the parties involved in a sale contract be sane ('āqil) and of sound judgment (rushd).
- Al-shurūţ al-ja'liyyah: The conditions that are laid down by the mukallaf (a person having full legal capacity) in a contract in order to achieve certain objectives. The improvised condition should be in line with the nature and objective of the contract (muqtaḍā al-'aqd), should bring benefit to the contracting parties and should not contradict the legal conditions (al-shurūţ al-shar'iyyah).

Al-shurūț al-ja'liyyah are further classified into three sub-categories (Faddād, 2005: 171):

• Al-sharţ al-ta'līqī: Improvised condition of contingency which is designed with the proviso that the effect is made contingent upon the existence of an event in the future. For example, a person appoints somebody to be his agent on the condition that he should come and move to his country. In this case, the contract of agency (wakālah) would not come into effect unless and until the agent moves to the principal's country.

- Al-shart al-taqyīdī: Restrictive improvised condition which is put in a contract by one of the parties to impose certain restrictions upon the other party that are not imposed by the Sharī'ah. For example, the buyer requires the seller to deliver the goods to a certain place.
- Al-shart al-idāfī: Improvised condition that defers the completion of a contract's effects until a stipulated future time.

C. Types of Condition with Regard to Its Effects on the Contract

The conditions with regard to their effects on contracts can be classified into four types (Ba'lī, 1989: 287-288):

- Shurūţ al-in'iqād: Preconditions for concluding the contract such as the full capacity (ahliyyat al-adā') of the contracting parties-i.e., possessing sanity and sound judgment-or the condition that the subject matter of the contract is legal (ḥalāl).
- Shurūṭ al-ṣiḥḥaḥ: Conditions for contract validity such as the condition that no ribawi element be present in an exchange contract or the condition of consent (riḍā) among the contracting parties.
- Shurūţ al-nafādh: Conditions for the execution of the contract; for example, full ownership of the goods being sold. In this regard, if others have a right in the goods, such as in joint ownership (shirkat al-milk), the contract cannot be executed.
- Shurūţ al-luzūm: Condition that makes the contract binding such as the absence of options (khiyār) in a sale contract. The contract is not binding until the option to either buy or not buy is exercised.

D. Types of Condition with Regard to Validity

With regard to their soundness and validity, conditions are categorized into two types according to the majority of jurists $(\bar{u}jamh\bar{u}r)$: $\bar{s}ah\bar{\iota}h$ (sound) and $b\bar{a}til$ (void). The Hanafīs, on the other hand, classify conditions into three types: $\bar{s}ah\bar{\iota}h$ (sound), $f\bar{a}sid$ (voidable) and $b\bar{a}til$ (void).

- Al-sharţ al-ṣaḥīḥ (sound condition): any condition which is in line with the objective and nature of the contract (muwāfiq li muqtaḍā al-ʻaqd); or supports the contract by strengthening what is necessitated by the contract (ta'kīd) or secures the contract (tawthīq); or a condition that is explicitly allowed by the Sharīʻah even though it is not part of the nature and objective of the contract such as stipulating an option (khiyār) for a certain period of time to choose āwhether to ratify the contract or annul it; or a condition which is in line with custom or normal practice ('urf) (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/204; Arabi, 2008).
- Al-sharţ al-fāsid (voidable condition): Stipulation that confers an additional advantage (which would not have otherwise been obtained under the prescribed effects of the contract) to one of the contracting parties or the subject matter of the contract or a third party (al-Kasānī, 2000).

Examples the Ḥanafīs give include buying an item on the condition that the vendor delivers it to one's home; or buying wheat on the condition that the vendor grinds it; or buying cloth on the condition that the vendor tailors it; or selling a house on the condition that the buyer also lends the seller money. These conditions, according to them, function as a new attachment to the primary terms which are distinct from them and would create irregularity in the contract and thus render it voidable (Arabi, 1998: 37).

The effect of voidable conditions upon the ruling for financial exchange contracts (' $uq\bar{u}d$ al-mu' $\bar{a}wadah$ al- $m\bar{a}liyah$), such as sale, lease, $mud\bar{a}rabah$, $mush\bar{a}rakah$, $muz\bar{a}ra$ 'ah and others, is that they would lead to a voidable contract ('aqd $f\bar{a}sid$) (al-Ba' $l\bar{1}$, 1989: 294; al- $Zuhayl\bar{1}$, 1995: 4/205). This means the contract would be void ($b\bar{a}til$) if the voidable stipulation remains; while the contract would become valid ($sah\bar{1}h$) if the voidable stipulation is cancelled (Haqqi, 2009: 74).

But in non-exchange contracts (' $uq\bar{u}d$ ghayr $al-mub\bar{a}dalah$) such as charitable contracts (' $uq\bar{u}d$ al-tabarru' $\bar{a}t$), a pledge (rahn), debt transfer ($haw\bar{a}lah$), guarantee ($kaf\bar{a}lah$), gratuitous loan of a non-fungible asset (i' $\bar{a}rah$), bequest ($w\bar{a}siyyah$) and others that do not involve considerations or payment, the contract itself is valid, and the voidable conditions are given no legal consideration (Ba'lī, 1989: 294; Kāmil, 2005: 142-3; $al-Zuhayl\bar{a}l$, 1995: 4/205).

• Al-sharţ al-bāţil (void condition): Ḥanafīs define a void stipulation as one which does not fall under any of the categories of a valid stipulation and at the same time does not confer any additional advantage to any person (Zaydān, 2003). For example, a stipulation by the seller that the buyer shall not re-sell the goods; a stipulation by the seller of a house that the buyer shall destroy it; and a stipulation by the seller that the buyer shall not use the property sold.

A void condition would have no implication on the validity of the contract as the condition is considered null and void and hence it is simply ignored. In this case, the contract is valid $(\bar{s}ah\bar{\iota}h)$, but the condition is considered nonsense (laghw), which is inadmissible and does not affect the validity of the contract in which it occurs $(Ba'l\bar{\iota}l)$, 1989: 295; Kāmil, 2005: 144; Arabi, 1998: 32).

V. FREEDOM TO STIPULATE CONDITIONS (HURRIYYAT AL-ISHTIRAT)

In principle, Islamic contract law aims to realize benefit (maṣlaḥah) to the contracting parties from the contract. It is also a basic principle in Islamic contract law that to achieve the maṣlaḥah of contracting parties, consent (ridā) is the basis of contract (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/197).

Mutual consent $(tar\bar{a}d\bar{a})$ can only occur if the contracting parties have free will $(ir\bar{a}dah\ hurrah)$ to enter into the contract and agree with the terms and conditions stipulated. In other words, it requires the freedom to contract $(hurriyyat\ al-ta'\bar{a}qud)$ and freedom to stipulate conditions $(hurriyyat\ al-ishtir\bar{a}t)$.

However, scholars have differences of opinion with regard to the relationship between freedom to contract (hurriyyat al-ta' $\bar{a}qud$), freedom to stipulate conditions (hurriyyat al-ishtir \bar{a} t) and consent (ri $d\bar{a}$). This because the stipulations attached to the contract to some extent restrict the freedom to contract while, on the other hand, the freedom to contract also means the freedom to create stipulations to the contract (Arabi, 1998). Furthermore, the establishment of the contract's ruling (hukm al-'agd) and its effects (āthār al-'agd) are actually under the authority of the Lawgiver rather than the contracting parties' authority, which means contracting parties should submit themselves to the Sharī'ah rules in concluding the contract (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/198).

Nevertheless, there are differences of opinions among jurists regarding the extent to which the Lawgiver has given authority to the contracting parties to amend the provisions stipulated by legislation. There are three main positions on this issue. The Zāhirīs believe that the basic principle regarding contracts and stipulation of conditions is that they are prohibited unless there is evidence for their permissibility (al-aşl fī al-'uqūd wa al-shurūţ al-man' ḥattā yaqūm dalīl 'alā al-ibāḥah). Any stipulation of conditions which are not approved by the Sharī'ah in the Qur'an, Sunnah or $ijm\bar{a}$ ' (consensus) is therefore null and void ($b\bar{a}$ til) (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/198-200).

The Ḥanbalīs argue that the principal rule in contractual conditions is that stipulation is permitted without qualification $(itl\bar{a}q)$. Therefore, any condition that is stipulated, if there is no evidence of its prohibition by the Sharīʻah, is considered permitted (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/202).

The Ḥanafīs and Shāfiʿīs, on the other hand, argue that the principal rule in contractual conditions is that they are permitted with qualification $(taqy\bar{t}d)$. Any condition stipulated against a principle of the Sharīʿah or the nature and objective of the associated contract is void $(b\bar{a}til)$ (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/202).

VI. SHURŪŢ AND MAQĀŞID AL-SHARĪ 'AH

The conditions of a transaction are intended to accompany the inception of the transaction and to regulate it once it is in operation. The conditions are not intended to add undue burden on the contracting parties. This is in line with the objective of Islamic law of contract that all parties involved in a contract should benefit from the transaction and no party should be allowed to suffer any undue burden from a given transaction (Haqqi, 2009: 70).

In this regard, the condition stipulated should be in line with the objective of the contract itself $(maw d\bar{u}'al-'aqd)$ as has been outlined by the Lawgiver in nominate contracts $('uq\bar{u}d\ musamm\bar{a}h)$ and the general objectives of Sharī'ah $(maq\bar{a}sid\ al-Shar\bar{1}'ah)$.

The objective of each nominate contract ' $u\bar{a}$ is established by the Lawgiver. Likewise, the rules and effects of a particular contract are in line with the objective of the contract ($mawd\bar{u}$ 'al-'aqd) and are set by the Lawgiver. It is upon those objectives, rulings and effects that the contracting parties establish their relationship when they draw up a contract ($insh\bar{a}$ ' al-'aqd) (al-Zuḥaylī, 1995: 4/182).

The objective of the contract differs from one contract to another. For example, the objective of a sale contract (bay') is to transfer the ownership of the purchased item

to the buyer for a consideration ('iwad); the objective of a leasing contract ($ij\bar{a}rah$) is to transfer the ownership of usufruct for a consideration; and the objective of a gift contract (hibah) is to transfer the ownership of an item without any consideration.

The particular objective of a given contract (mawdu' al'aqd) can be regarded as a particular objective (maqsad $juz'\bar{i}$) of the Sharī'ah. The conditions of each contract
that are stipulated by the Lawgiver are intended to
regulate the rule of the game of a contract, ensuring the
realization of maslahah to the parties in the contract
and protecting them from harm (mafsadah) that might come
as a result of inequality between the contracting
parties; for example, one party exploiting the other, or
ignorance or uncertainty about the object of contract.

Those particular objectives are established under the rubric of more general objectives that are in the larger scheme of the Sharī'ah (maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah). The highest objective of the Sharī'ah as a system of life is to secure and protect human well-being. All the teachings, injunctions and prohibitions of the Sharī'ah are related to the grand wisdom (ḥikmah) of blessing mankind and securing human interests. All the Sharī'ah rules that contain obligations and duties bring benefit and prosperity and all its prohibitions are meant to prevent harm and hardship.

Stipulation of conditions in commercial contracts has to be recognized as part of the effort to achieve the overall Sharīʻah objective of realizing maṣlaḥah and preventing mafsadah to the contracting parties. Sometimes, stipulating conditions is very crucial due to need or to ensure that the benefit to the contracting parties is realized.

Therefore, the conditions established in a contract should not be intended to put an additional burden on one or both of the contracting parties or to restrict their freedom of contract. Instead, they should aim at creating a positive atmosphere in the contract by facilitating its rulings and its effects and creating a level playing field between the contracting parties, ensuring full knowledge and mutual consent and ensuring that benefit can be gained by both

parties to the contract. It is mentioned in $al-Maws\bar{u}$ 'ah al-Fiqhiyyah al-Kuwaytiyyah (20/156) that "the maṣlaḥah of the contracting parties is part of the nature and objective of [every] contract" (مَصْلَحَةُ الْعَاقِدِ هِيَ مِنْ مُمُثَّقَتَى الْعَقْدِ).

Likewise, the conditions improvised by contracting parties themselves ($shur\bar{u}t$, ja'liyyah) should not contradict the very purpose of the contract ($muqtad\bar{a}$ al-'aqd) or the overall purpose of Sharī'ah ($maq\bar{a}sid$ al-Sharī'ah) of realizing benefit (maslahah) and preventing harm (mafsadah) in contracts.

Stipulating conditions in commercial contracts should be done in a two-way process that ensures correspondence between the needs of each contracting party, with due consideration of their circumstances of place and time, and the grand framework of the Sharīʻah. In the literature, this effort is identified as jalb al-maṣlaḥah (realising benefit); taghyīr aw dafʻ al-mafsadah (changing or repelling harm); sadd al-dharīʻah (blocking apparently lawful means that lead to harmful results); and rafʻ al-ḥaraj (removing hardship).

VII. CONCLUSION

The freedom to stipulate conditions ($\hbar urriyat$ alishtirāt) in a contract is not only recognized by Islamic contract law but is also guaranteed and protected. The effort should be done within the spirit of realizing the objectives of the Sharīʻah ($maq\bar{a}sid$ al-Sharīʻah), namely to achieve the maslahah (interest) of the contract (maslahat al-ʻaqd) and of the contracting parties (maslahat al-ʻaqidayn).

However, the process of stipulating conditions in contracts should also refer to the nature and objective of contract ($muqta d\bar{a}$ al-'aqd), the rulings and principles of Sharī'ah in contracts and the common customs accepted by people in the contract.

References

Al-Ba'lī, A. M. (1989). *Dawabiṭ al-'Uqūd*. Cairo: Maktabah Wahbah.

- Al-Kāsānī, 'Ala' al-Dīn Abī Bakr ibn Mas'ūd. (2000), Badā'i al-Ṣanā'i fī Tartīb al-Sharā'ī. Beirut: Dār Iḥya' al-Turāth al-'Arabī.
- Al-Zuḥaylī, Wahbah. (1995). Al-Fiqh al-Islamī wa Adillatuh, (4th edn.). Damascus: Dār al-Fikr.
- Arabi, O. (1998). Contract Stipulations (Shurūţ) in Islamic Law: The Ottoman Majalla and Ibn Taymiyya. International Journal of Middle East Studies. 30 (1), 29-50.
- Faddād, I. (2005). Al-Shurūţ al-Mustaḥdathah fī Majāl al-Bay'. Ḥawliyyah al-Barakah. No. 7, 163-202.
- Haqqi, A. R. (2009). The Philosophy of Islamic Law of Transactions. Kuala Lumpur: CERT.
- Ibn al-Qayyim. (1991). I'lām al-Muwaqqi'īn 'an Rabb al'Ālamīn. Muhammad 'Abd al-Salām Ibrāhīm (Ed.). Beirut:
 Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- 'Imārah, M. (2009). *Qamūs al-Muṣṭalaḥāt al-Iqtiṣādiyyah* fī al-Ḥaḍārah al-Islāmiyyah. Cairo: Dar al-Salam.
- Kamali, M. H. (2007). Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence. 2nd Revised Edition. Kuala Lumpur: Ilmiah Publisher.
- Kāmil, U. (2005). Al-'Uqūd wa Ahkāmuha al-Shar'īyyah. Hawlīyyat al-Barakah. No. 7, 139-157.
- Wehr, H. (1980). A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic.

 Beirut: Librairie Du Liban.
- Wizārat al-Awqāf wa al-Shu'ūn al-Islāmiyyah (1992). Al-Mawsū'ah al-Fiqhiyyah al-Kuwaytiyyah. Vol. 20 and 26. Kuwait: Wizārat al-Awqāf wa al-Shu'ūn al-Islāmiyyah.
- Zaydān, A. K. (2003). Al-Madkhal li Dirāsāt al-Sharīʻah al-Islāmiyyah. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah.

aqasid_al-Shari_ah_and_Stipulation_of_Conditions_in_Contract.doc

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

13%

11%

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

INTERNET SOURCES

PUBLICATIONS

STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

7%

★ Submitted to International Islamic University Malaysia

Student Paper

Exclude quotes

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

Off Off

aqasid_al-Shari_ah_and_Stipulation_of_Conditions_in_Contract.doc

GRADEMARK REPORT	
FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS
/0	Instructor
70	
PAGE 1	
PAGE 2	
PAGE 3	
PAGE 4	
PAGE 5	
PAGE 6	
PAGE 7	
PAGE 8	
PAGE 9	
PAGE 10	

PAGE 11